



Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

Weekly

April 1, 2005 / Vol. 54 / No. 12

Tobacco Use, Access, and Exposure to Tobacco in Media Among Middle and High School Students — United States, 2004

Two of the national health objectives for 2010 are to reduce the prevalence of any tobacco use during the preceding month to ≤21% and the prevalence of current cigarette use to ≤16% among high school students (objectives 27-2a and 27-2b) (1). The National Youth Tobacco Survey (NYTS), conducted by CDC in 2004, provided estimates of current use of tobacco products and selected indicators related to tobacco use, including youth exposure to tobacco-related media and access to cigarettes. This report summarizes data from the 2004 NYTS and describes changes in tobacco use and indicators related to tobacco use since 2002 (2). During 2002-2004, middle school students reported decreases in pipe use, seeing actors using tobacco on television or in movies, and seeing advertisements for tobacco products on the Internet. Among high school students, no changes were observed in the use of tobacco or in access to tobacco products; however, seeing actors using tobacco on television or in movies declined slightly, and seeing advertisements for tobacco products on the Internet increased. The lack of substantial decreases in the use of almost all tobacco products among middle and high school students underscores the need to fully implement evidencebased strategies (e.g., increasing the retail price of tobacco products, implementing smoking-prevention media campaigns, and decreasing minors' access as part of comprehensive tobacco-control programs) that are effective in preventing youth tobacco use (3).

Similar to the 2002 NYTS (2), the sampling frame for the 2004 NYTS consisted of all U.S. public and private schools and was stratified by U.S. Census Bureau data by region and urbanicity; non-Hispanic black, Hispanic, and Asian students were oversampled. A total of 91 primary sampling units (PSUs) (i.e., large counties or groups of counties) were selected in the first stage of sampling, and 288 schools were selected from these PSUs in the second stage of sampling. Of these 288

eligible schools, 267 (93%) participated in the survey. In each school, typically five classes (approximately 125 students) were selected randomly from a required subject area (e.g., English) or a particular class period (e.g., all 2nd period classes). Participation was voluntary and anonymous, and school parental permission procedures were followed; students recorded their responses in a computer-scannable booklet.

Of 31,774 students who were sampled from the participating schools, 27,933 (88%) completed the survey (14,034 middle school students [grades 6–8], 13,738 high school students [grades 9–12], and 161 students unclassified with respect to grade). Data were weighted to be nationally representative. Statistical software was used to compute 95% confidence intervals for prevalence estimates. Differences in tobacco use estimates during 2002–2004 were assessed by using t-tests at two-tailed significance level. All statistically significant results were p<0.05. Current use of specific tobacco products (i.e., cigarettes, cigars, smokeless tobacco, pipes, bidis [leaf-wrapped, flavored cigarettes from India], or kreteks [clove cigarettes]) was defined as having used that product on at least 1 day during the 30 days preceding the survey. Current use of

INSIDE

- 301 Inadvertent Laboratory Exposure to Bacillus anthracis California, 2004
- 304 Estimated Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Adults and Children United States, September 1, 2004—January 31, 2005
- 307 Influenza Vaccine Prebooking and Distribution Strategies for the 2005–06 Influenza Season
- 308 Outbreak of Marburg Virus Hemorrhagic Fever Angola, October 1, 2004—March 29, 2005
- 309 Notices to Readers
- 310 QuickStats

The MMWR series of publications is published by the Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service*, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

SUGGESTED CITATION

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article Title]. MMWR 2005;54:[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Julie L. Gerberding, MD, MPH Director

Dixie E. Snider, MD, MPH Chief Science Officer

Tanja Popovic, MD, PhD (Acting) Associate Director for Science

Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service*

Blake Caldwell, MD, MPH, and Edward J. Sondik, PhD (Acting) Directors

National Center for Health Marketing*

Steven L. Solomon, MD (Acting) Director

Division of Scientific Communications*

Maria S. Parker (Acting) Director

Mary Lou Lindegren, MD (Acting) Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, MPA *Managing Editor*, MMWR *Series*

Douglas W. Weatherwax (Acting) Lead Technical Writer-Editor

Stephanie M. Neitzel Jude C. Rutledge Teresa F. Rutledge Writers-Editors

Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. LaPete Visual Information Specialists

Kim L. Bright, MBA Quang M. Doan, MBA Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

Notifiable Disease Morbidity and 122 Cities Mortality Data

Patsy A. Hall Deborah A. Adams Felicia J. Connor Rosaline Dhara Donna Edwards Tambra McGee Pearl C. Sharp any tobacco product was defined as having used any of the listed products on at least 1 day during the 30 days preceding the survey. Students were asked how often they saw actors using tobacco on television or in movies or saw advertisements for tobacco products on the Internet, whether they were asked for proof of age when they bought or tried to buy cigarettes in a store during the preceding 30 days, and whether anyone ever refused to sell them cigarettes because of their age during the preceding 30 days.

In 2004, a total of 11.7% of middle school students reported current use of any tobacco product (Table 1). Cigarettes (8.1%) were the most commonly used product, followed by cigars (5.2%), smokeless tobacco (2.9%), pipes (2.6%), bidis (2.3%), and kreteks (1.5%). During 2002-2004, no significant changes were observed among middle school students in use of any tobacco or cigarettes (in 2002, a total of 13.3% of middle school students reported current use of any tobacco product, and 9.8% reported current use of cigarettes), cigars, smokeless tobacco, bidis, or kreteks. Pipe use declined significantly, from 3.5% to 2.6%. Among males, cigarette smoking and use of pipes and kreteks declined, from 9.8% to 7.7%, 5.1% to 3.3%, and 2.7% to 1.9%, respectively. Among Asians, use of any tobacco, cigarettes, cigars, pipes, bidis, and kreteks decreased. Among non-Hispanic blacks, use of pipes decreased. Among Hispanics, use of cigars and bidis increased significantly.

In 2004, a total of 28.0% of high school students reported current use of any tobacco product (Table 2). Cigarettes (22.3%) were the most commonly used product, followed by cigars (12.8%), smokeless tobacco (6.0%), pipes (3.1%), bidis (2.6%), and kreteks (2.3%). During 2002–2004, no significant decreases were observed in use of any tobacco or use of a specific tobacco product (in 2002, a total of 28.2% of high school students reported current use of any tobacco product, and 22.5% reported current use of cigarettes). Among non-Hispanic blacks, use of any tobacco product and pipes decreased, from 21.7% to 17.1% and 3.7% to 1.8%, respectively. Among Hispanics, cigar use increased, from 10.8% to 13.3%.

In 2004, a total of 77.9% of middle school students reported seeing actors using tobacco on television or in movies, and 34.1% reported seeing advertisements for tobacco products on the Internet (Table 3), compared with 89.9% and 42.7% in 2002, respectively. In addition, in 2004, a total of 70.6% of current cigarette smokers in middle school said they were not asked to show proof of age when they purchased or attempted to purchase cigarettes from a store, and 66.4% said they were not refused purchase of cigarettes because of their age. No significant differences were observed from 2002.

^{*} Proposed.

TABLE 1. Percentage of students in middle school (grades 6-8) who were current users* of any tobacco product, by product type, sex, and race/ethnicity — National Youth Tobacco Survey, United States, 2002 and 2004

	Any tobacco†		Cigarettes		Cigars		Smokeless tobacco		Pipes		Bidis		Kreteks	
Characteristic	%	(95% CI§)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)
Middle school, 2004														
Sex														
Male	12.7	(±1.7)	7.7 [¶]	(±1.3)	6.6	(±1.1)	3.9	(±1.0)	3.3 [¶]	(±0.8)	2.8	(±0.7)	1.9 [¶]	(±0.4)
Female	10.7	(±1.8)	8.6	(±1.9)	3.8	(±0.5)	1.9	(±0.5)	1.8	(±0.5)	1.7	(±0.4)	1.2	(±0.4)
Race/Ethnicity														
White, non-Hispanic	11.2	(±1.9)	8.3	(±1.8)	4.4	(±0.8)	3.1	(± 0.9)	2.2	(±0.6)	1.8	(±0.5)	1.2	(± 0.4)
Black, non-Hispanic	12.3	(±2.5)	7.5	(±1.9)	6.9	(±1.8)	1.8	(±0.8)	2.0 [¶]	(±0.8)	2.7	(±0.9)	1.6	(±0.6)
Hispanic	14.8	(±1.9)	9.4	(±1.5)	8.0 [¶]	(±1.2)	3.7	(± 0.9)	5.3	(±1.2)	4.3 [¶]	(±0.8)	3.0	(± 0.7)
Asian	3.4^{\P}	(±1.8)	2.2 [¶]	(±1.5)	0.7^{\P}	(±0.6)	1.0	(±0.7)	0.7 [¶]	(±0.7)	0.5 [¶]	(±0.6)	0.7^{\P}	(±0.7)
Total	11.7	(±1.6)	8.1	(±1.5)	5.2	(±0.7)	2.9	(±0.6)	2.6 [¶]	(±0.6)	2.3	(±0.5)	1.5	(± 0.3)
Middle school, 2002														
Sex														
Male	14.7	(±1.6)	9.8	(±1.3)	7.9	(±1.1)	5.3	(±1.3)	5.1	(±0.8)	3.1	(±0.6)	2.7	(±0.6)
Female	11.7	(±1.4)	9.7	(±1.4)	4.1	(±0.7)	1.6	(±0.5)	1.9	(±0.4)	1.7	(±0.4)	1.1	(±0.3)
Race/Ethnicity														
White, non-Hispanic	13.2	(±1.9)	10.1	(±1.6)	5.5	(±1.0)	3.8	(±1.1)	2.8	(±0.6)	1.8	(± 0.4)	1.5	(± 0.4)
Black, non-Hispanic	13.5	(±2.4)	9.0	(±2.3)	7.3	(±1.7)	2.3	(±0.9)	3.9	(±1.4)	3.1	(±1.0)	2.3	(±0.9)
Hispanic	12.5	(±1.9)	8.7	(±1.5)	6.3	(±1.1)	2.7	(±0.7)	4.3	(±0.9)	2.9	(±0.7)	2.6	(±0.7)
Asian	8.6	(±3.3)	7.4	(±3.3)	5.0	(±2.8)	3.5	(±2.7)	4.6	(±2.7)	3.1	(±2.2)	3.8	(±2.9)
Total	13.3	(±1.4)	9.8	(±1.2)	6.0	(±0.7)	3.5	(±0.7)	3.5	(±0.5)	2.4	(±0.3)	2.0	(±0.4)

^{*} Used tobacco on at least 1 day during the 30 days preceding the survey.

TABLE 2. Percentage of students in high school (grades 9-12) who were current users* of any tobacco product, by product type, sex, and race/ethnicity — National Youth Tobacco Survey, United States, 2002 and 2004

	Any tobacco†		Cigarettes		Cigars		Smokeless tobacco		Pipes		Bidis		Kreteks	
Characteristic	%	(95% CI§)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)
High school, 2004														
Sex														
Male	31.5	(±3.0)	22.1	(±2.7)	18.4	(±1.8)	10.8	(±2.2)	4.6	(±0.9)	3.6	(± 0.7)	3.2	(±0.8)
Female	24.7	(±3.1)	22.4	(±3.1)	7.5	(±1.4)	1.4	(±0.6)	1.6	(±0.6)	1.6	(±0.5)	1.5	(±0.5)
Race/Ethnicity		, ,		, ,		, ,		, ,		, ,		, ,		
White, non-Hispanic	31.5	(± 4.1)	25.4	(±3.8)	13.6	(±2.1)	7.5	(±1.6)	2.9	(±0.8)	2.2	(±0.5)	2.3	(± 0.7)
Black, non-Hispanic	17.1 [¶]	(±3.3)	11.4	(±3.1)	10.5	(±2.1)	1.7	(±1.2)	1.8 [¶]	(±0.8)	2.1	(±0.8)	1.3	(±0.5)
Hispanic	26.2	(±2.9)	21.6	(±3.1)	13.3 [¶]	(±1.7)	3.5	(±1.1)	5.0	(±1.0)	4.6	(±0.9)	3.3	(±0.7)
Asian	13.1	(±3.3)	11.2	(±2.6)	5.7	(±2.4)	2.1	(±1.7)	2.0	(±1.1)	2.1	(±1.2)	1.4	(±1.0)
Total	28.0	(±2.9)	22.3	(±2.7)	12.8	(±1.5)	6.0	(±1.2)	3.1	(±0.6)	2.6	(±0.5)	2.3	(±0.5)
High school, 2002														
Sex														
Male	32.6	(± 2.3)	23.9	(±2.1)	16.9	(± 1.4)	10.5	(±2.0)	5.0	(±0.9)	3.7	(± 0.8)	3.5	(± 0.7)
Female	23.7	(±1.8)	21.0	(±1.9)	6.2	(±0.9)	1.2	(±0.3)	1.4	(±0.4)	1.5	(±0.4)	1.8	(±0.5)
Race/Ethnicity		, ,		, ,		, ,		, ,		, ,		, ,		
White, non-Hispanic	30.9	(±2.0)	25.2	(±1.8)	11.8	(± 1.0)	7.3	(±1.4)	2.8	(±0.6)	2.2	(± 0.5)	2.7	(±0.6)
Black, non-Hispanic	21.7	(±2.9)	13.8	(±2.8)	12.0	(±1.9)	1.8	(±0.8)	3.7	(±1.2)	3.4	(±1.1)	1.9	(±0.8)
Hispanic	24.1	(±2.7)	19.8	(±2.5)	10.8	(±1.5)	3.3	(±1.1)	4.6	(±1.1)	3.5	(±0.9)	3.0	(±0.8)
Asian	14.6	(±3.8)	12.2	(±3.4)	5.4	(±2.3)	2.1	(±1.5)	2.7	(±1.5)	2.9	(±1.6)	2.1	(±1.7)
Total	28.2	(±1.7)	22.5	(±1.6)	11.6	(±0.9)	5.9	(±1.1)	3.2	(±0.6)	2.6	(±0.5)	2.7	(±0.4)

Cigarettes, cigars, smokeless tobacco, pipes, bidis (leaf-wrapped, flavored cigarettes from India), or kreteks (clove cigarettes).

[§] Confidence interval.

Significant difference (p<0.05), 2004 versus 2002.

^{*} Used tobacco on at least 1 day during the 30 days preceding the survey.

† Cigarettes, cigars, smokeless tobacco, pipes, bidis (leaf-wrapped, flavored cigarettes from India), or kreteks (clove cigarettes).

§ Confidence interval.

1 Significant difference (p<0.05), 2004 versus 2002.

TABLE 3. Percentage of students in middle school (grades 6–8) and high school (grades 9–12) who reported being exposed to tobacco-related media and advertising, and current smokers aged <18 years who tried to buy cigarettes in a store, by sex and race/ethnicity — National Youth Tobacco Survey, United States, 2004

		Alls	tudents		Current cigarette smokers* aged <18 years					
	on or	aw actors television in movies ng tobacco	tobac	Saw isements for co products he Internet	v ask proof	Vere not ed to show of age when sing cigarettes	Were not refused purchase because of age			
Characteristic	%	(95% CI†)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)		
Middle school Sex										
Male	78.6§	(±1.9)	33.8§	(±2.1)	67.9	(± 7.8)	62.8	(±9.7)		
Female	77.2§	(±2.1)	34.3§	(±2.7)	73.3	(±9.4)	70.1	(±7.2)		
Race/Ethnicity		, ,		, ,		, ,		, ,		
White, non-Hispanic	78.5 [§]	(±2.3)	34.4§	(±1.9)	79.9	(±8.7)	69.8	(±8.9)		
Black, non-Hispanic	77.1§	(±2.4)	31.2§	(±2.5)	65.7	(±14.9)	63.6	(±12.5)		
Hispanic	78.1§	(±2.1)	35.7§	(±2.5)	60.5	(±14.1)	63.4	(±11.0)		
Asian	72.7§	(±4.6)	29.1 [§]	(±6.6)	_ ¶	 ¶	 ¶	_ ¶		
Total	77.9§	(±1.9)	34.1 [§]	(±2.0)	70.6	(±6.8)	66.4	(±6.8)		
High school Sex										
Male	85.9 [§]	(±1.4)	38.8§	(±1.9)	57.8	(±5.4)	52.6	(±4.8)		
Female	87.1§	(±1.7)	39.6§	(±2.2)	71.6 [§]	(±7.1)	73.2§	(±5.6)		
Race/Ethnicity										
White, non-Hispanic	86.9§	(±1.6)	38.5 [§]	(±1.9)	63.1	(±7.3)	62.1	(±4.5)		
Black, non-Hispanic	84.6 [§]	(±2.1)	38.4	(±2.8)	77.2	(±10.6)	74.8 [§]	(±10.6)		
Hispanic	86.5 [§]	(±1.5)	44.1 [§]	(±2.8)	60.6	(±6.9)	55.1	(±6.5)		
Asian	86.4 [§]	(±3.6)	41.0	(±4.9)	 ¶	 ¶	¶	<u></u> ¶		
Total	86.5 [§]	(±1.2)	39.2 [§]	(±1.5)	63.9	(±5.7)	62.1	(±3.8)		

^{*} Smoked cigarettes on at least 1 day during the 30 days preceding the survey and bought or tried to buy cigarettes in a store. Confidence interval.

During 2002–2004, a significant overall decline, from 91.3% to 86.5%, was observed among high school students who reported seeing actors using tobacco on television or in movies. However, a significant increase was observed, from 33.5% to 39.2%, in seeing tobacco products on the Internet. Among current smokers aged <18 years in high school, 63.9% said they were not asked to show proof of age when they purchased or attempted to purchase cigarettes from a store, and 62.1% said they were not refused purchase of cigarettes because of their age. No significant differences were documented from 2002.

Reported by: AB Bloch, MD, PD Mowery, MA, RS Caraballo, PhD, AM Malarcher, PhD, T Pechacek, PhD, CG Husten, MD, Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC. R Carmona, MD, Office of the Surgeon General.

Editorial Note: Preventing smoking initiation and use among adolescents is critical to ending the epidemic of tobacco use in the United States. In assessing state and national tobaccocontrol efforts, multiple indicators are needed to evaluate progress in reducing tobacco use among adolescents, in particular, measures of exposure to influences that promote or discourage tobacco use. NYTS serves as a national evaluation

tool and as a benchmark for the 29 states that implemented a comparable state Youth Tobacco Survey in 2003 and 2004. Data from two of the multiple indicators in NYTS indicated no change occurred in minors' access to cigarettes, whereas declines in seeing actors using tobacco on television or in movies occurred among both middle and high school students. Although the levels of exposure to seeing actors using tobacco decreased from 91.3% in 2002 to 86.5% in 2004 among high school students and from 89.9% in 2002 to 77.9% in 2004 among middle school students, approximately three fourths of middle and high school students are still exposed to these images. Parental monitoring of and limitations on minors' access to media sources might reduce exposures (4); however, reductions in exposure large enough to effectively prevent smoking initiation might require different industry practices on smoking images in movies (5).

Because the overall prevalence of any tobacco use or cigarette smoking did not change during 2002–2004 (2), data from future surveys will be important in determining whether progress toward meeting the national health objectives for 2010 is slowing. Several factors might be related to this lack of change in prevalence. From winter 1997 to spring 2002, the retail price of cigarettes increased approximately 80%, but from

Significant difference (p<0.05), 2004 versus 2002.

Unstable estimate because of small sample size.

spring 2002 to spring 2004, the price increased only 4% (6). Although smoking-prevention media campaigns are effective in preventing youth smoking initiation (7), funding for these campaigns has declined substantially (8). In addition, during the preceding 3 fiscal years (FYs), a 28% decline in the total investment in statewide comprehensive tobacco-prevention and -control programs occurred, from \$749.7 million in FY 2002 to \$542.6 in FY 2004 (8). Finally, whereas factors preventing tobacco use (e.g., increasing the retail price of tobacco products, implementing smoking-prevention media campaigns, and funding for comprehensive state tobacco-prevention and -control programs) declined from 2002 to 2004, tobacco industry expenditures on tobacco advertising and promotion increased from \$5.7 billion in 1997 to \$12.5 billion in 2002 (9).

The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, these data apply only to youths who attended middle school or high school. Among persons aged 16–17 years in the United States, approximately 5% were not enrolled in a high school program and had not completed high school in 2000 (2). Second, the questionnaire was offered only in English. Thus, comprehension might have been limited for students with English as a second language. Third, significance testing did not control for possible changes in demographics from 2002 to 2004.

The decline in youth smoking prevalence since the late 1990s has been a public health success, reversing the pattern of increase in the early 1990s (2). However, the lack of substantial change among middle and high school students during the preceding 2 years emphasizes the need for sustained, comprehensive, evidence-based programs that demonstrate the ability to reduce adolescent smoking prevalence (10).

Acknowledgments

The findings in this report are based, in part, on contributions by KH Flint, MA, JG Ross, MS, R Iachan, PhD, WH Robb, MS, Macro International Inc., Calverton, Maryland. LL Pederson, PhD, K Jackson, MSPH, RTI International, Atlanta, Georgia.

References

- US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2010 (conference ed., 2 vols.). Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2000.
- CDC. Tobacco use among middle and high school students—United States, 2002. MMWR 2003;52:1096–8.
- 3. Task Force on Community Preventive Services. The guide to community preventive services: tobacco use prevention and control. Am J Prev Med 2001;20(2 Suppl 1):1–87.
- Sargent JD, Dalton MA, Heatherton T, Beach M. Modifying exposure to smoking depicted in movies: a novel approach to preventing adolescent smoking. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2003;157:643–8.
- Glanz SA. Smoking in movies: a major problem and a real solution. Lancet 2003;362:258–9.

- US Department of Labor. Consumer price index—all urban consumers. U.S. city average, cigarettes. Washington, DC: US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics; 2004. Available at http://data.bls.gov/pdg/outside.jsp?survey=cu.
- Farrelly MC, Davis KC, Haviland L, Messeri P, Healton CG. Evidence
 of a dose-response relationship between "truth" antismoking ads and
 youth smoking prevalence. Am J Public Health 2005;95:425–31.
- 8. Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids, American Lung Association, American Cancer Society, American Heart Association (December 2, 2004). A broken promise to our children: the 1998 state tobacco settlement six years later. Washington, DC: National Center for Tobacco-Free Kids; 2004. Available at http://www.tobaccofreekids.org/reports/settlements/2005/fullreport.pdf.
- Federal Trade Commission. Cigarette report for 2002. Washington, DC: Federal Trade Commission; 2003. Available at http://www.ftc.gov/reports/cigarette/041022cigaretterpt.pdf.
- 10. Tauras JA, Chaloupha FJ, Farrelly MC, et al. State tobacco control spending and youth smoking. Am J Public Health 2005;95:338–44.

Inadvertent Laboratory Exposure to Bacillus anthracis — California, 2004

On June 9, 2004, the California Department of Health Services (CDHS) was notified of possible inadvertent exposure to *Bacillus anthracis* spores at Children's Hospital Oakland Research Institute (CHORI), where workers were evaluating the immune response of mice to *B. anthracis*. This report summarizes the subsequent investigation by CDHS and CDC, including assessment of exposures, administration of postexposure chemoprophylaxis, and serologic testing of potentially exposed workers. The findings underscore the importance of using appropriate biosafety practices and performing adequate sterility testing when working with material believed to contain inactivated *B. anthracis* organisms.

On May 28, 2004, CHORI staff members injected 10 mice with a suspension believed to contain nonviable vegetative cells of *B. anthracis* Ames strain. The suspension was centrifuged and drawn into syringes on an open bench in the laboratory. The mice were injected in a separate animal-handling facility at CHORI. By May 30, all of the injected animals had unexpectedly died. The carcasses were removed from the cages, placed into a plastic biohazard bag, and frozen. The bedding was discarded as standard animal waste. The cages were sanitized in an automated washer.

On June 4, an additional 40 mice were injected with the same suspension. By June 7, all but one of these mice had died. All subsequent work was performed under a biological safety cabinet (BSC), and additional personal protective equipment (PPE) was used (e.g., protective clothing and gloves). Animal cages were brought into the BSC, and the surviving

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to listserv@listserv.cdc.gov. The body content should read SUBscribe mmwr-toc. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop E-96, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

All MMWR references are available on the Internet at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to MMWR readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in MMWR were current as of the date of publication.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2005-733-116/00082 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195